

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/489,514		01/21/2000	S. R. Narayanan	06618-406001	06618-406001 5937	
20985	7590	08/11/2005		EXAMINER		
FISH & RI		•	MERCADO, JULIAN A			
12390 EL C SAN DIEGO				ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
	•			1745		
				DATE MAILED: 08/11/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			A = 1! = = A/ = \	
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/489,514	NARAYANAN	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Julian Mercado	1745	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica or Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	s
A SH THE - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the reply specified above is less than thirty (30) or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuter to reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thi tory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI. by statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this commur BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.
Status				
•	Since this application is in condition fo)⊠ This action is non-final. r allowance except for formal mat		rits is
	closed in accordance with the practice	under Ex parte Quayle, 1955 C.I	J. 11, 430 O.O. 210.	
Disposit	ion of Claims			
5)	Claim(s) 7-22 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 7-22 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration.		
Applicat	ion Papers			
10)□	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected to be	a) accepted or b) objected to on to the drawing(s) be held in abeyane correction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•		
12) □ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do	ocuments have been received. ocuments have been received in the priority documents have bee al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stag	je
Attachmer	nt(s)		•	
2) Notice 3) Information	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTo- mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Pier No(s)/Mail Date	O-948) Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152 	2)

Art Unit: 1745

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114 was filed in this application after a decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences, but before the filing of a Notice of Appeal to the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit or the commencement of a civil action. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114 and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the appeal has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114 and prosecution in this application has been reopened pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on April 15, 2005 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC ≥ 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 7-11, 13, 14, 18, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Serpico et al. in view of Dupont Zonyl reference.

The rejection is maintained for the reasons already of record. The examiner notes the present amendment to claim 1 which a catalytic material including "platinum and another material". Serpico et al. teaches platinum and another material in the form of platinum and carbon, e.g. platinum-supported carbon. (Example I) The present amendment further recites that the platinum catalytic material is "randomly spaced and uniformly mixed". Serpico et al. in Example I further teaches that "[t]he mixture was stirred with moderate agitation to form a

Art Unit: 1745

viscous particle dispersion", and upon addition of the fluoropolymer (PTFE), "[t]he dual particle suspension was stirred slowly until homogenous."

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Serpico et al. in view of Dupont Zonyl reference as applied to claims 7-11, 13, 14, 18, and 20 above, and further in view of Kindler.

The rejection is maintained for the reasons of record. The present amendment is believed *prima facia* obvious over the combined teachings of Serpico et al. and the Dupont Zonyl reference, set forth above. The rejection further in view of Kindler is maintained for the detailed reasons already of record.

Claims 15-17, 19, 21 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Serpico et al. in view of Dupont Zonyl reference as applied to claims 7-11, 13, 14, 18, and 20 above, and further in view of Samuels et al.

The rejection is maintained for the reasons of record. The present amendment is believed *prima facia* obvious over the combined teachings of Serpico et al. and the Dupont Zonyl reference, set forth above. The rejection further in view of Samuels et al. is maintained for the detailed reasons already of record.

Applicant's arguments for patentability for the instant roughening step have been fully considered, however they are not found persuasive.

Art Unit: 1745

The teachings of Samuels et al. are maintained to show that roughening of the membrane surface prior to catalyst deposition would be an obvious modification at least to the skilled artisan. This feature is considered shown by Samuels et al. in col. 7 line 33 as follows:

...before the catalyst is deposited upon the surface of the solid polymer electrolyte, the surface is treated by a suitable abrading or roughening means which contacts the surface of the solid polymer electrolyte base member in at least two directions. In a preferred mode, the solid polymer electrolyte membrane is abraded with a silicon carbide sheet to provide the desired roughness. The catalyst is deposited upon the roughened or abraded surface and fixed thereto by suitable means, e.g., pressure and/or heat. By this method, substantially reduced loadings of catalysts are possible.

Thus, it is maintained that the skilled artisan would find obvious to employ roughening of the membrane in Serpico et al.'s invention for reasons such as increasing the surface area thereof, thereby enhancing sites for catalyst deposition.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Julian Mercado whose telephone number is (571) 272-1289. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick J. Ryan, can be reached on (571) 272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

Art Unit: 1745

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

PATRICK JOSEPH RYAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER